FILED

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

OCT 13 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

VENTURA ORTUNO-GARCIA,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 06-74865

Agency No. A078-019-116

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted September 14, 2009**

Before: SILVERMAN, RAWLINSON, and CLIFTON, Circuit Judges.

Ventura Ortuno-Garcia, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions pro se for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing her appeal from an immigration judge's decision denying her application for cancellation of removal.

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

We have jurisdiction pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review de novo questions of law, *Morales Apolinar v. Mukasey*, 514 F.3d 893, 895 (9th Cir. 2008), and we deny the petition for review.

The agency properly concluded that Ortuno-Garcia is statutorily ineligible for cancellation of removal because she was convicted of two crimes involving moral turpitude. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1229b(b)(1)(C) (an alien is ineligible for cancellation of removal if convicted of an offense under 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(2)). Ortuno-Garcia's contention that she is eligible for cancellation of removal because she was convicted over ten years prior to her application for relief is foreclosed by *Flores-Juarez v. Mukasev*, 530 F.3d 1020, 1022 (9th Cir. 2008).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.